



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2020

Diversity, Fairness, and Data-Driven Personalization in (News) Recommender System (Dagstuhl Perspectives Workshop 19482)

Bernstein, Abraham ; de Vreese, Claes H ; Helberger, Natali ; Schulz, Wolfgang ; Zweig, Katharina A

Abstract: As people increasingly rely on online media and recommender systems to consume information, engage in debates and form their political opinions, the design goals of online media and news recommenders have wide implications for the political and social processes that take place online and offline. Current recommender systems have been observed to promote personalization and more effective forms of informing, but also to narrow the user's exposure to diverse content. Concerns about echo-chambers and filter bubbles highlight the importance of design metrics that can successfully strike a balance between accurate recommendations that respond to individual information needs and preferences, while at the same time addressing concerns about missing out important information, context and the broader cultural and political diversity in the news, as well as fairness. A broader, more sophisticated vision of the future of personalized recommenders needs to be formed - a vision that can only be developed as the result of a collaborative effort by different areas of academic research (media studies, computer science, law and legal philosophy, communication science, political philosophy, and democratic theory). The proposed workshop will set first steps to develop such a much needed vision on the role of recommender systems on the democratic role of the media and define the guidelines as well as a manifesto for future research and long-term goals for the emerging topic of fairness, diversity, and personalization in recommender systems.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4230/DagRep.9.11.117>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-197678>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Unported (CC BY 3.0) License.

Originally published at:

Bernstein, Abraham; de Vreese, Claes H; Helberger, Natali; Schulz, Wolfgang; Zweig, Katharina A (2020). Diversity, Fairness, and Data-Driven Personalization in (News) Recommender System (Dagstuhl Perspectives Workshop 19482). *Dagstuhl Manifestos*, 9(11):117-124.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4230/DagRep.9.11.117>

Diversity, Fairness, and Data-Driven Personalization in (News) Recommender System

Edited by

Abraham Bernstein¹, Claes De Vreese², Natali Helberger³,
Wolfgang Schulz⁴, and Katharina A. Zweig⁵

1 Universität Zürich, CH, bernstein@ifi.uzh.ch

2 University of Amsterdam, NL, c.h.devreese@uva.nl

3 University of Amsterdam, NL, n.helberger@uva.nl

4 Universität Hamburg, DE, w.schulz@hans-bredow-institut.de

5 TU Kaiserslautern, DE, zweig@cs.uni-kl.de

Abstract

As people increasingly rely on online media and recommender systems to consume information, engage in debates and form their political opinions, the design goals of online media and news recommenders have wide implications for the political and social processes that take place online and offline. Current recommender systems have been observed to promote personalization and more effective forms of informing, but also to narrow the user's exposure to diverse content. Concerns about echo-chambers and filter bubbles highlight the importance of design metrics that can successfully strike a balance between accurate recommendations that respond to individual information needs and preferences, while at the same time addressing concerns about missing out important information, context and the broader cultural and political diversity in the news, as well as fairness. A broader, more sophisticated vision of the future of personalized recommenders needs to be formed—a vision that can only be developed as the result of a collaborative effort by different areas of academic research (media studies, computer science, law and legal philosophy, communication science, political philosophy, and democratic theory). The proposed workshop will set first steps to develop such a much needed vision on the role of recommender systems on the democratic role of the media and define the guidelines as well as a manifesto for future research and long-term goals for the emerging topic of fairness, diversity, and personalization in recommender systems.

Seminar November 24–29, 2019 – <http://www.dagstuhl.de/19482>

2012 ACM Subject Classification Information systems → Information retrieval diversity, Applied computing → Psychology, Human-centered computing → Empirical studies in HCI, Applied computing → Sociology, Information systems → Digital libraries and archives, Human-centered computing → HCI theory, concepts and models, Applied computing → Economics, Information systems → Web services

Keywords and phrases News, recommender systems, diversity

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/DagRep.9.11.117



Except where otherwise noted, content of this report is licensed under a Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license

Diversity, Fairness, and Data-Driven Personalization in (News) Recommender System, *Dagstuhl Reports*, Vol. 9, Issue 11, pp. 117–124

Editors: Abraham Bernstein, Claes De Vreese, Natali Helberger, Wolfgang Schulz, and Katharina A. Zweig



DAGSTUHL
REPORTS

Dagstuhl Reports
Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

1 Executive Summary

Abraham Bernstein (Universität Zürich, CH)

Claes De Vreese (University of Amsterdam, NL)

Natali Helberger (University of Amsterdam, NL)

Wolfgang Schulz (Universität Hamburg, DE)

Suzanne Tolmeijer (Universität Zürich, CH)

Katharina A. Zweig (TU Kaiserslautern, DE)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license

© Abraham Bernstein, Claes De Vreese, Natali Helberger, Wolfgang Schulz, Suzanne Tolmeijer, and Katharina A. Zweig

The Dagstuhl Perspectives Workshop 19482 on Diversity, Fairness, and Data-Driven Personalization in (News) Recommender Systems,¹ took place from November 24 to November 29 at Schloss Dagstuhl in Germany. The goal of the workshop was to bring together researchers from the various disciplines relevant to news recommender systems (computer, communications, legal, and political science) to (1) develop a joint understanding of the issues arising for society with regards to the diversity and fairness of recommender systems, (2) identify the gaps in science, practice and regulation with regards to these topics, and (3) to compile a set of recommendations—in the form of a manifesto—that outlines needed steps from all actors involved to address the societal issues at hand.

Workshop Schedule

The workshop was organized in the following phases:

Welcome and introductions This first phase introduced the workshop goal to the participants and then offered each of them five minutes to introduce their research activities, expertise, their interest in the topic, and research directions they see as relevant to the workshop’s topic.

Impulse presentations Given the diversity of the backgrounds of the participants, eight brief stage setting presentations were given. The goal of these was to establish a common ground in terms of relevant questions and common vocabulary.²

Topical breakout group discussions Based on the introducing presentations and impulse presentations, the next phase of the workshop was organized around topical breakout groups. Topics discussed included relating fairness to diversity, user desiderata and characteristics, wider societal implications, governance, data requirements, and clustering of research gaps.

Writing sessions The next phase was focused on jointly drafting the manifesto that incorporated recommendations developed from discussions so far and compiling them into a coherent document.

The remainder of this text provides the abstracts of the impulse presentations. The insights resulting from our discussions can be found in the manifesto document, which will be published in due course.

¹ See workshop home page at <https://www.dagstuhl.de/19482>

² Brief abstracts of these talks can be found in this document.

2 Table of Contents

Executive Summary

Abraham Bernstein, Claes De Vreese, Natali Helberger, Wolfgang Schulz, Suzanne Tolmeijer, and Katharina A. Zweig 118

Overview of Talks

Bringing Diversity to News Recommender Algorithms
Abraham Bernstein 120

News Recommender Systems (NRS) – A communication science perspective
Claes De Vreese 120

Algorithmic Accountability and Fairness – A computer scientist's perspective
Marc Hauer 121

Democratic theory and Recommendations
Natali Helberger 121

Legal media policy
Wolfgang Schulz 121

Toward Measuring Viewpoint Diversity in News Consumption
Nava Tintarev 122

Measuring diversity in news recommendations – Or, at least, an attempt
Sanne Vrijenhoek 123

Computer science perspective: Measures as models of society
Katharina A. Zweig 123

Participants 124

3 Overview of Talks

3.1 Bringing Diversity to News Recommender Algorithms

Abraham Bernstein (Universität Zürich, CH)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Abraham Bernstein

Joint work of Abraham Bernstein, Bibek Paudel, Suzanne Tolmeijer

Main reference Bibek Paudel, Fabian Christoffel, Chris Newell, Abraham Bernstein: “Updatable, Accurate, Diverse, and Scalable Recommendations for Interactive Applications”, TiiS, Vol. 7(1), pp. 1:1–1:34, 2017.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/2955101>

Recommender systems have become a backbone of consumption. They combine information about items and previous behavior of users to personalize the user’s experience when reading the news, buying goods, or choosing what to watch in the evening. This talk succinctly introduces how recommender systems work to establish the technical underpinnings for all workshop attendees and suggests various approaches for how diversity can be added to them as an additional target measure.

3.2 News Recommender Systems (NRS) – A communication science perspective

Claes De Vreese (University of Amsterdam, NL)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Claes De Vreese

Main reference Nicholas Diakopoulos: “Towards a Design Orientation on Algorithms and Automation in News Production”, Digital Journalism, Vol. 7(8), pp. 1180–1184, Routledge, 2019.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1682938>

Main reference Judith Möller, Damian Trilling, Natali Helberger, Bram van Es: “Do not blame it on the algorithm: an empirical assessment of multiple recommender systems and their impact on content diversity”, Information, Communication & Society, Vol. 21(7), pp. 959–977, Routledge, 2018.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1444076>

Main reference Neil Thurman, Seth C. Lewis, Jessica Kunert: “Algorithms, Automation, and News”, Digital Journalism, Vol. 7(8), pp. 980–992, Routledge, 2019.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1685395>

In this Introduction talk, NRS are contextualized as part of a larger development towards the role of data and automated decision making both in the production, dissemination, and consumption of news, in a changing media ecosystem. It is highlighted that communication science research often focuses on the user and effects on the user, but that in the space of NRS there is still a relative paucity of empirical research in this area. Recent publications have called for more attention to the design and features of NRS and the implications for user agency and effects on users’ knowledge, attitudes and behavior. The diversity notion has been central in communication science for decades, and there is a clear need to expand diversity research in NRS beyond topical diversity to also include medium, device, outlet and content (e.g., tone, frame, actors) diversity. The talk concludes with a number of emerging topics in communication science research on NRS, such as the role of conversational agenda, NRS and platforms like YouTube, the role of NRS in journalistic production routines, and the potentially unintended consequences on diversity in NRS.

3.3 Algorithmic Accountability and Fairness – A computer scientist’s perspective

Marc Hauer (TU Kaiserslautern, DE)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Marc Hauer

The talk gave a short outline about three notions of algorithmic accountability, the Algorithm Accountability Lab of TU Kaiserslautern is currently working on, namely how to assign responsibilities in the development of ADM-systems, the various and incompatible measures of fairness, and a regulation approach that has been included into the final report of the German Datenethikkommission.

References

- 1 Alexander Filipovic, Christopher Koska, Claudia Paganini. Ethik für Algorithmiker. Bertelsmann Stiftung, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.11586/2018033>.
- 2 Tobias D. Krafft, Katharina A. Zweig. Transparenz und Nachvollziehbarkeit algorithmenbasierter Entscheidungsprozesse. Bundesverband Verbraucherzentrale, 2019, https://www.vzbv.de/sites/default/files/downloads/2019/05/02/19-01-22_zweig_krafft_transparenz_adm-neu.pdf.

3.4 Democratic theory and Recommendations

Natali Helberger (University of Amsterdam, NL)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Natali Helberger

Main reference Natali Helberger: “On the Democratic Role of News Recommenders”, Digital Journalism, Vol. 7(8), pp. 993–1012, Routledge, 2019.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1623700>

The argument that this presentation made is that diversity in the media is a concept with a mission: to further the values democratic societies are grounded in. Building on a brief discussion of four selected democratic theories of the media (liberal, participatory, deliberative and critical/antagonistic) and the growing body of literature about the digital turn in journalism, the presentation offered a conceptual framework for assessing the threats and opportunities around the democratic role of news recommenders. The talk concluded with developing a typology of different “democratic recommenders”.

3.5 Legal media policy

Wolfgang Schulz (Universität Hamburg, DE)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Wolfgang Schulz

The talk gives a legal perspective on diversity and the recent challenges for the concept. In German broadcasting regulation (like in many other jurisdictions) “diversity” appears as a main goal, meaning – according to the Federal constitutional court – that diversity of existing opinions should be presented in broadcasting as broadly and comprehensively as possible. In consequence, Public service broadcasters are required to promote diversity,

media regulators govern the distribution of broadcasting programs to maximize diversity. The recent draft of an amended Interstate Treaty on Broadcasting tries to extend diversity regulation to intermediaries. They should not discriminate among pieces of media content. However, regulatory concepts reach their limits if they want to apply diversity regulation to media in an information ecosphere where media content is just one among many types that also fulfil information needs of the users.

3.6 Toward Measuring Viewpoint Diversity in News Consumption

Nava Tintarev (TU Delft, NL)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Nava Tintarev

Main reference Dimitrios Bountouridis, Jaron Harambam, Mykola Makhortykh, Mónica Marrero, Nava Tintarev, Claudia Hauff: “SIREN: A Simulation Framework for Understanding the Effects of Recommender Systems in Online News Environments”, in Proc. of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, FAT* 2019, Atlanta, GA, USA, January 29-31, 2019, pp. 150–159, ACM, 2019.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287583>

The growing volume of digital data stimulates the adoption of recommender systems in different socioeconomic domains, including news industries. While news recommenders help consumers deal with information overload and increase their engagement and satisfaction, their use also raises an increasing number of societal concerns, such as “Matthew effects”, “filter bubbles”, and an overall lack of transparency. Considerable recommender systems research has been conducted on balancing diversification of content with relevance, however this work focuses specifically on topical diversity. For readers, diversity of *viewpoint* on a topic in news is however more relevant. This allows for measures of diversity that are multi-faceted, and not necessarily driven by previous consumption habits. This talk introduced preliminary work together with several Dutch news organizations (e.g., Blendle, Persgroep, and FDMediagroep), aiming to find ways to help users explore viewpoint diversity. This talk also explored transparency for content-providers, and introduced a simulation framework that allows content providers to (i) select and parameterize different recommenders and (ii) analyze and visualize their effects with respect to two diversity metrics. Consequently, this talk introduced first steps toward informing diverse content selection in a way that is meaningful and understandable, to both content providers and news readers.

References

- 1 Nava Tintarev, Emily Sullivan, Dror Guldin, Sihang Qiu, and Daan Odjik. “Same, same, but different: algorithmic diversification of viewpoints in news”. In UMAP workshop on Fairness in User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization, in association with UMAP’18. 2018.
- 2 Dimitrios Bountouridis, Jaron Harambam, Mykola Makhortykh, Monica Marrero, Nava Tintarev, and Claudia Hauff. “SIREN: a simulation framework for understanding the effects of recommender systems in online news environments”. In ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAT*). 2019.
- 3 Feng Lu and Nava Tintarev. “A diversity adjusting strategy with personality for music recommendation”. In Recsys workshop on Interfaces and Decision Making in Recommender Systems. 2018.

3.7 Measuring diversity in news recommendations – Or, at least, an attempt

Sanne Vrijenhoek (University of Amsterdam, NL)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license

© Sanne Vrijenhoek

Joint work of Sanne Vrijenhoek, Nadia Metoui, Judith Möller, Daan Odijk, Natali Helberger

URL <https://github.com/svrijenhoek/dart/tree/master/dart>

The University of Amsterdam, in collaboration with RTL News and funded by the SIDN Fonds, has started the development of an open source tool that enables data scientists at media companies to measure diversity in their news recommendations. In this talk we describe the setup of this project and the process of bridging the gap between normative notions of diversity, founded in democratic theory, and computationally viable methods. We identified a set of metrics approaching a subset of characteristics of different models of democracy, and evaluate them by comparing performance between a set of baseline recommender approaches.

References

- 1 Helberger, Natali. “On the democratic role of news recommenders.” *Digital Journalism* (2019): 1-20.

3.8 Computer science perspective: Measures as models of society

Katharina A. Zweig (TU Kaiserslautern, DE)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license

© Katharina A. Zweig

Main reference Katharina Anna Zweig: “Network Analysis Literacy – A Practical Approach to the Analysis of Networks”, Springer, 2016.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-0741-6>

Main reference Isadora Dorn, Andreas Lindenblatt, Katharina A. Zweig: “The Trilemma of Network Analysis”, in *Proc. of the 2012 International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM 2012)*, ASONAM '12, p. 9–14, IEEE Computer Society, 2012.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/ASONAM.2012.12>

The talk first reviewed the idea of using centrality indices in complex network analysis and provided a solution of why there are so many of them. This is explained by a suggestion of Borgatti who stated that for every network flow process there is one centrality index that predicts which of the nodes is most heavily used by the network flow process. He characterized network flow processes by only a few characteristics, e.g., the type of paths used in the network or the distribution mode. Thus, each centrality index is tied to a network flow process and vice versa. In other words, centrality indices contain a *model* of a social process to which they can be applied to. This well-understood relationship between a certain class of indices or measures and a social process can be generalized to all kinds of operationalizations of social concepts, e.g., diversity of a news recommender system. If all measures and indices that are supposed to quantify a social term contain a model of a social process or a cultural perspective, it is 1) important to make these implicit assumptions as explicit as possible and 2) vital to only apply any measure to those kind of data and research questions that match with the implicit assumptions.

Participants

- Christian Baden
The Hebrew University of
Jerusalem, IL
- Michael Beam
Kent State University, US
- Abraham Bernstein
Universität Zürich, CH
- Claes De Vreese
University of Amsterdam, NL
- Marc Hauer
TU Kaiserslautern, DE
- Lucien Heitz
Universität Zürich, CH
- Natali Helberger
University of Amsterdam, NL
- Pascal Jürgens
Johannes Gutenberg-Universität
Mainz, DE
- Christian Katzenbach
Institute for Internet & Society –
Berlin, DE
- Benjamin Kille
TU Berlin, DE
- Beate Klimkiewicz
University Jagiellonski –
Krakow, PL
- Wiebke Loosen
Universität Hamburg, DE
- Judith Möller
University of Amsterdam, NL
- Goran Radanovic
MPI-SWS – Saarbrücken, DE
- Wolfgang Schulz
Universität Hamburg, DE
- Guy Shani
Ben Gurion University –
Beer Sheva, IL
- Nava Tintarev
TU Delft, NL
- Suzanne Tolmeijer
Universität Zürich, CH
- Wouter van Atteveldt
VU University Amsterdam, NL
- Sanne Vrijenhoek
VU University Amsterdam, NL
- Theresa Züger
Institute for Internet & Society –
Berlin, DE
- Katharina A. Zweig
TU Kaiserslautern, DE

